Genome sequences of the human body louse and its primary endosymbiont provide insights into the permanent parasitic lifestyle
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As an obligatory parasite of humans, the body louse (Pediculus humanus humanus) is an important vector for human diseases, including epidemic typhus, relapsing fever, and trench fever. Here, we present genome sequences of the body louse and its primary bacterial endosymbiont Candidatus Riesia pediculicola. The body louse has the smallest known insect genome, spanning 108 Mb. Despite its status as an obligate parasite, it retains a remarkably complete basal insect repertoire of 10,773 protein-coding genes and 57 microRNAs. Representing hemimetabolous insects, the genome of the body louse thus provides a reference for studies of holometabolous insects. Compared with other insect genomes, the body louse genome contains significantly fewer genes associated with environmental sensing and response, including odorant and gustatory receptors and detoxifying enzymes. The unique architecture of the 18 micrincellular mitochondrial chromosomes of the body louse may be linked to the loss of the gene encoding the mitochondrial single-stranded DNA binding protein. The genome of the obligatory louse endosymbiont Candidatus Riesia pediculicola encodes less than 600 genes on a short, linear chromosome and a circular plasmid. The plasmid harbors a unique arrangement of genes required for the synthesis of pantothenate, an essential vitamin deficient in the louse diet. The human body louse, its primary endosymbiont, and the bacterial pathogens that it vectors all possess genomes reduced in size compared with their free-living close relatives. Thus, the body louse genome project offers unique information and tools to use in advancing understanding of coevolution among vectors, symbionts, and pathogens.
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Like their primate relatives, humans have had a long evolutionary association with parasitic lice. Contact between sucking lice and primate hosts dates back at least 25 million years (1). Chimpanzee lice (Pediculus schaeffi) and human lice (Pediculus humanus) diverged from their common ancestors, as did chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) and humans (Homo sapiens), 5–7 million years ago (2, 3). The two subspecies—the human body louse (Pediculus humanus humanus L.) and the head louse (P. h. capitis DG.)—are closely related obligate parasites that feed exclusively on human blood. Body lice likely evolved from head louse ancestors when humans began to wear clothing, which is required for egg deposition by body lice (4).

P. h. humanus has been of tremendous medical and social importance throughout human history. Of the two forms, only the body louse has been implicated as a vector of human disease and is the principal vector of epidemic typhus (Rickettsia prowazekii), relapsing fever (Borrelia recurrentis), and trench fever (Bartonella quintana) (5–9). In the United States as well as the rest of the world, body lice are primarily a concern in transient homeless populations, whereas head lice tend to infest populations of elementary school-aged children. Historically, epidemic typhus has been responsible for massive mortality in wartime (9); in contemporary times, major outbreaks of epidemic typhus are found primarily among refugees [e.g., in Burundi in 1996 (8)], but sporadic cases have also been observed in general populations in Russia (10), Peru, Algeria, and France (11).

Like all hematophagous lice, body lice depend on obligate endosymbionts to supplement their nutritionally deficient blood diet (12). The primary endosymbiont of P. h. humanus has been given the provisional name Candidatus Riesia pediculicola (13) (hereafter, Riesia). The body louse maintains organs called mycetomes that house the primary endosymbiont, except during passage to the ovaries for transovarial transmission (14). The tripartite interdependency of this bacterial endosymbiont, its body louse host, and the human host of the body louse seems to have coevolved over several million years (15).

Here, we present the genome sequences of the body louse and its coevolved primary endosymbiont. This genome, the smallest known insect genome, encodes a completely complete gene repertoire and thus, provides a robust phylogenetic outgroup for understanding the evolution of holometabolous insects. The striking reduction in genome size is particularly notable in gene families associated with environmental sensing and response; this reduction benefits a monophagous permanent parasite with a substantially reduced need to seek out food sources and detect and avoid enemies relative to free-living species.

## Results and Discussion

### Genome Features. Genome sequencing, assembly, and annotation.

The genome of the body louse was sequenced to 8.5x average coverage using a whole genome shotgun approach with 1.5 million paired-end reads from plasmid libraries. The assembled contigs and scaffolds, spanning 108 Mb and 110 Mb, respectively, confirmed previous estimates based on flow cytometry data (103–109 Mb) that the body louse has the smallest known genome size of any insect (16, 17). The 300 longest scaffolds span more than 95% of the assembled genome sequence (scaffold N50 size of 488 kb). A range of automated and manual methods (18) yielded 10 tentative superscaffolds of up to 9 Mb each, spanning a total of 49 Mb. This effort provided large chromosomal segments, which were close to continuous, with only a few remaining clone gaps, usually involving simple-sequence gene deserts.

The remarkable compactness of the genome greatly facilitated accurate gene annotation. Predictions using multiple gene-modeling approaches resulted in consensus annotation (Table 1) of 10,773 protein-coding genes, 161 transfer ribonucleic acids (tRNAs) for all 20 amino acids, and 57 microRNAs (Table S1A). Comparing predicted protein lengths with their Drosophila melanogaster orthologs (the best experimentally studied insect that drives comparative gene annotation) revealed greater consistency with body louse genes (concordance = 0.91; identical with Anopheles gambiae) than with the honey bee Apis mellifera (concordance = 0.89) or the red flour beetle Tribolium castaneum (concordance = 0.88), despite greater evolutionary divergence (Fig. S1A).

### GC content.

Compared with other sequenced insect genomes, the body louse genome has the highest abundance of small homogeneous GC-content domains (7–30 kb with GC content between 18% and 63%). The average GC content of the P. h. humanus genome is 28%, which is similar to that of the A. mellifera genome (33%), making these two genomes unusually AT-rich. However, the A. mellifera genome harbors more extremes. Only 77% of homogeneous domains have a GC content between 20% and 60% in A. mellifera compared with 94% in P. h. humanus, which is more similar in this respect to the genome of T. castaneum (99%) (Fig. S2 A and B).

### Telomeres.

Unlike A. mellifera telomeres (19), none of the body louse telomeres appeared to be assembled completely at the ends of long superscaffolds. Therefore, we sought candidate telomere sequences with the strategy used for T. castaneum (20). The body louse is diploid, and it has a haploid complement of five metacentric chromosomes and one telocentric chromosome for a total of 11 putative telomeres (21). Although we were unable to reconstruct an entire telomere because of its highly repetitive nature, we identified a long subtelomeric repeat region that was partially assembled on at least 9 of 11 putative telo-

### Table 1. Summary of the genome features of Pediculus humanus humanus compared with Drosophila melanogaster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome feature</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Nucleotides (Mb)</th>
<th>Genome fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. h. humanus (D. melanogaster)</td>
<td>6 chromosomes (4 chromosomes)</td>
<td>110 (169)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene-rich clusters* containing 95% of genes</td>
<td>1,110 (1,130)</td>
<td>55 (70)</td>
<td>50 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-coding genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total [multi-exon]</td>
<td>10,773, [10,424]; [13,794, [11,458];</td>
<td>33.8 (82.6)</td>
<td>31 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding exons</td>
<td>69,261 (54,606)</td>
<td>16.6 (22.3)</td>
<td>15 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introns</td>
<td>58,522 (44,698)</td>
<td>17.2 (48.6)</td>
<td>15 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non–protein-coding genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNAs</td>
<td>161 (292)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.022)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRNAs</td>
<td>57 (90)</td>
<td>0.005 (0.008)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposable elements</td>
<td>3,558 (8,409)</td>
<td>1.1 (11.6)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem repeats</td>
<td>130,608 (25,904)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.1)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. melanogaster values were obtained from FlyBase release 5.23 with the same parameters used to obtain, parse, and count the P. h. humanus genome.

The more numerous body louse exons and introns suggest intron loss in D. melanogaster but with an increase in their sizes.

*Supporting documentation is in Fig. S4F.
meres between unique flanking DNA and telomeric TTAGG repeats. This subtelomeric region consists of various satellite-like repeats in addition to pseudogenes and simple sequences, and it varies considerably in length. The TTAGG repeats commonly contain sequence associated repeat telomeric (SART)-like retrotransposons, which are also characteristic of the telomeres from T. castaneum and Bombyx mori (domestic silkworm). This combination might represent the basal insect situation. If so, the simple TTAGG telomeres of A. mellifera would represent a derived condition in which most retrotransposons have been lost rather than the ancestral condition (19). Alternatively, insect telomeres may have repeatedly been invaded as a safe harbor by non-LTR retrotransposons of the R-element family that belongs to the SART group (20).

Transposable elements. Both class I and class II mobile elements are present in the genome of P. h. humanus, yet they represent only 1% of the genome (Table S1B), which is markedly lower than any sequenced insect genome. Interestingly, the body louse genome size is near the hypothesized 100 Mb critical threshold at which transposable elements can be established in eukaryote genomes (22).

Mitochondrial genome. The mitochondrial genome of P. h. humanus contains the full complement of 37 genes organized in an unusual architecture of 18 minicircular chromosomes (23). It is possible that multiple minicircular chromosomes promote recombination between genes on different chromosomes. Indeed, there is evidence in the genome sequence data for at least two chimeric minicircular chromosomes that have arisen from such recombination (Fig. S1B).

Of 305 mitochondrial-targeted, nuclear-encoded genes known in D. melanogaster, 282 have louse orthologs. This finding suggests that the basic mitochondrial functions (e.g., oxidative phosphorylation, membrane transport, and protein synthesis) are uncompromised by the reorganized mitochondrial genome. The body louse genome revealed the apparent loss of the mitochondrial single-stranded binding protein (mtSSB), a factor required for optimal initiation and processivity during mitochondrial genome replication in both insects and mammals (24, 25). In the absence of mtSSB, complete replication of a full-sized mitochondrial genome may not be possible (25); the loss of mtSSB function in D. melanogaster is lethal at the late third instar/pupal stages because of a loss of mtDNA content (26). It is not yet known if the mtSSB function can be replaced by an endosymbiont homolog or if the multiple minicircles render the mtSSB unnecessary.

Endosymbiont Genome. Genome sequencing, assembly, and annotation. Like many other sucking lice (Anoplura, Rhynchophthirina), the body louse has mycetomes that harbor the primary endosymbiotic bacteria (p-endosymbionts). The genome of the Pediculus symbiont, Riesia, was sequenced to an average coverage of 50× and is composed of a single linear chromosome of at least 574,526 bp with palindromic termini and a single circular plasmid of 7,628 bp. The chromosome contains 557 ORFs, 33 tRNAs, 6 ribosomal RNAs, and 1 other structural RNA.

Comparisons with other endosymbionts. We compared the genome of Riesia with the genomes of other endosymbionts and the infectious plague pathogen Yersinia pestis (Fig. S3). This genome-wide sequence comparison revealed a core of 237 genes common to all bacteria examined; only 24 genes were unique to Riesia, and 30 genes were present in all except Riesia (Table S2 A and B). Several genes unique to Riesia code for transport and binding proteins as well as for enzymes involved in lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis. Conversely, the enzymes missing from Riesia are mainly exonucleases, which are required for conjugation, and enzymes involved in energy metabolism. The Riesia-specific transport and binding proteins and the lack of energy metabolism genes may reflect the dependence of Riesia on its louse host for nutrients. Lipopolysaccharides might be important for cell-wall stability when Riesia migrate extracellularly through the louse to reach filial mycetomes in the ovaries (14) (Table S2B).

Riesia is required by lice for the production of pantothenic acid (vitamin B5). Without Riesia, nymphs die during their first molt (27). Surprisingly, the genes for three key enzymes in the synthesis of pantothenic acid, parrE, parNC, and pantE, are missing from the linear chromosome of Riesia. These genes are, instead, found together on the plasmid. Similar cases are known from evolutionarily more ancient endosymbionts (e.g., Buchnera) in which essential genes are also extrachromosomal (28). Having these genes on a multicopy plasmid could represent a mechanism that reduces the risk of genome degradation and increases expression levels to secure synthesis of pantothenic acid at required amounts. Interestingly, there is preliminary evidence that endosymbiont replacement may be commonplace in sucking lice (29), possibly facilitated by the acquisition of plasmids that harbor genes essential to the host.

Nakabachi et al. (30) proposed that integration of essential genes from the p-endosymbiont into the host genome might be an important mechanism for the host to overcome the consequences of genome degradation of its endosymbiont. Riesia in the human body louse and Buchnera in the pea aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum) represent cases where the genomes of both symbiotic partners are available to test this hypothesis. The body louse genome does not appear to contain any genes of prokaryotic origin, suggesting the absence of gene transfers from Riesia. Riesia contains a single-copy plasmid that could represent a mechanism in which essential genes are also extrachromosomal (28). Having these genes on a multicopy plasmid could represent a mechanism that reduces the risk of genome degradation and increases expression levels to secure synthesis of pantothenic acid at required amounts. Interestingly, there is preliminary evidence that endosymbiont replacement may be commonplace in sucking lice (29), possibly facilitated by the acquisition of plasmids that harbor genes essential to the host.

The dramatic reduction in genome size and high AT bias suggest a long association between Riesia and its host insect, and like some other ancient gammaproteobacterial symbiotic associations, the Riesia genome is free of mobile elements. However, Riesia’s association with its host is only 13–25 million years old, making Riesia one of the youngest known endosymbionts (31).

Comparative Genomics. Hemimetabolous outgroup. The human body louse is among the first sequenced representatives of hemimetabolous insects (32), a group distinguished by progressive intermediate development as nymphal instars rather than larva–pupa–adult transformations. The louse genome is, therefore, an important outgroup reference for comparative analyses of sequenced holometabolous insects (Fig. L4). The complete metamorphosis of holometabolous insects is a highly successful evolutionary strategy, whereby larvae and adults can take advantage of different ecological niches. The molecular innovations that have contributed to the success of holometabolous insects can now be viewed in the context of a hemimetabolous outgroup genome sequence that is largely complete.

In addition to being the smallest genome of any insect studied to date, the body louse genome is, as far as can be determined, functionally complete. Of 10,773 body louse protein-coding genes, 90% share homology to genes known in other species, enabling orthology delineation for 80% of louse genes (33). This level is comparable with results from initial analyses from A. mellifera (34) and T. castaneum (20). The phylogenetic tree reconstructed using single-copy orthologs (Fig. L4) confirms the basal position of Hemimetabola compared with Holometabola within Insecta. This suggests an average rate of molecular evolution in the lineage of lice that is comparable with that of Hymenoptera and Coleoptera.

Microsynteny analysis (35) between genomes of the body louse and hymenopteran honey bee A. mellifera or Nasonia parasitoid wasp species suggests that about 20% of single-copy orthologs are retained in their ancestral arrangements (Table S3A). This percentage is similar to microsynteny conservation levels between A. mellifera (Hymenoptera) and T. castaneum (Coleoptera), and it is substantially greater than their conservation with dipterans (15%) (36), highlighting the derived state of Diptera.

Kirkness et al.
Contrary to the expectations of reductive evolution common in obligate parasites, the body louse has maintained many genes important for basic physiological processes, losing only a few of these roles to its endosymbiont Riesia. Because the expansion and contraction of gene families may indicate functional adaptation and evolution, we compared the body louse gene repertoire with those of the honey bee and red flour beetle. Comparisons were made both at the level of protein families, which could be generally defined using InterPro domain signatures (Table S3 B–D), and at a finer scale at the level of orthologous groups of genes (Fig. S4E). On both scales, the body louse genome seems to have several gene families with fewer members than those found in other invertebrates.

Fewer Genes Are Associated with Environmental Sensing and Response. G protein-coupled receptors. With 104 nonsensory G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and 3 opsins (visual receptors) (Table S4), P. h. humanus has the smallest repertoire of GPCRs identified in any sequenced insect genome to date (20, 34, 39–41). The louse genome has orthologs for ~80% of nonsensory GPCRs identified in D. melanogaster. These GPCRs seemingly represent a minimal suite of receptors needed to maintain conserved GPCR-mediated signaling pathways common to diverse insect taxa (42). The relatively small number of louse opsin likely reflects its simple visual system. Moreover, the body louse lacks a putative short (blue)-wavelength sensitive opsin typically found in other insects (43), a feature that might have evolved during its adaptation to the obligate parasitic lifestyle.

Odorant-, gustatory-, and chemosensory-related genes. The genome sequence revealed just 10 odorant receptor (Or) genes, fewer than any other insect examined to date by almost an order of magnitude. The gustatory receptor (Gr) family is comparably small with just six loci encoding eight proteins through alternative splicing of the N terminus of one locus. There are no orthologs of the otherwise highly conserved carbon dioxide heterodimer Gr receptors (40, 41, 44, 45) or the putative sugar receptors (46, 47). The louse has orthologs of the otherwise highly conserved carbon dioxide heterodimer Gr receptors (40, 41, 44, 45) or the putative sugar receptors (46, 47).

Ancestral insect gene repertoire. Contrary to the expectations of reductive evolution common in obligate parasites, the body louse has retained a remarkably complete repertoire of both protein-coding and non–protein-coding genes (Table 1). The distribution of orthologous genes across four representative insect species (Fig. 1 B and C) shows that Hymenoptera and Coleoptera share more orthologs with the body louse than they do with the fruit fly D. melanogaster. Relative to the well-studied D. melanogaster model, the louse genome may be used as a robust outgroup to Holometabola.

Examining microRNA gene families shared among crustaceans and insects revealed that mir-315, mir-283, mir-33, and mir-29 were lost from the body louse genome (37) (Table S14 and Fig. S4 A–D) (mir-1ab-4 and mir-46 have been found in the trace archive). Because all true lice are wingless, it is intriguing to note that mir-315 has been identified as a potent activator of wingless signaling in D. melanogaster (38).
Body louse coevolution and allopatric speciation. With their characteristic extreme host specificity, pediculid lice provide dramatic examples of host–parasite coevolution and allopatric speciation (56). One consequence of this specificity is the difficulty encountered when adapting human lice to novel experimental hosts (8). Body lice have reduced genomes and harbor specific bacterial symbionts and pathogens that also exhibit genome reduction (57–64). These combined observations support the hypothesis that *P. h. humanus* has become highly specialized since its divergence from the chimpanzee louse 5–7 million years ago. Such extreme specializations in the endosymbiont, associated with dramatic genome reductions, may have resulted from a lack of gene exchange after allopatric speciation. This association of an insect host, its symbionts, and its bacterial pathogens coevolving and showing congruent reductive genome evolution provides a dramatic example of the evolutionary consequences of genome interactions and interdependency over time.

Conclusions

The body louse genome provides a unique repository of data that has considerable basic and practical significance. The availability of sequence data will facilitate molecular studies of a vector for diseases that continue to afflict human populations around the world. The louse relies on Riesia, an obligatory louse bacterial endosymbiont that lacks antibiotic resistance genes, for survival; thus, the development of louse-control strategies targeting this symbiont may be possible. With respect to understanding the evolution of multigene families mediating responses to environmental selective forces, the body louse genome, with its drastically reduced inventories in the context of its exceptionally homogeneous environment, provides extraordinary prospects for characterizing the functionalities of these rapidly evolving proteins. As well, further studies focusing on the smaller repertoire of detoxification genes and olfactory receptors in the body louse may guide the development of pediculicides and repellents with negligible impacts on human hosts. Moreover, the remarkable completeness of this genome, despite its small size, will serve as a key evolutionary reference point for studies of all sequenced insect species in characterizing the fundamental prerequisites for insect growth and development. Finally, the body louse genome will provide an opportunity for the scientific community to gain greater insights into host–parasite–symbiont tripartite coevolution and speciation.

Materials and Methods

Lice were obtained from an inbred colony derived from the Culpepper strain (65) that has been maintained on rabbits since 1999 at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. MA. Total DNA was extracted from ~100 first instar nymphs before their first blood meal and was used to construct libraries in the plasmid, ppgLS2 (3- to 4-kb and 10- to 12-kb inserts), or the fosmid, pCCFO51 (35- to 40-kb inserts). End sequencing of clones from each library was conducted using a standard capillary platform (ABI 3730), and it yielded 1.30 million good traces (96% paired) with a mean clear read length of 656 bases. All traces were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) trace archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/trace.cgi/). The reads were assembled with Celera Assembler (http://vgs-assembler.sourceforge.net/) (66–68) and deposited with NCBI (accession no. AAZ00000000). The details of the assembly and annotation are given in *SI Text*. Additional analyses of other aspects of the body louse genome are given in the *SI Text*.
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